WENTA PROTECTION	
Same December	
FLORIDA	

SURFACE COATING OPERATIONS



## COMPLIANCE INSPECTION CHECKLIST

INSPECTION TYPE: ANNUAL (INS1, INS2) COMPLAINT/DISCOVERY (CI)				
<b>RE-INSPECTION (FUI)</b>	ARMS COMPLAINT	ſNO:		
AIRS ID#: 0112546 DATE: 6/19/2012	ARRIVE: <u>1600</u>	DEPART: <u>1700</u>		
FACILITY NAME: HOLMAN HONDA OF FORT	LAUDERDALE			
<b>FACILITY LOCATION:</b> 12 E SUNRISE BL	VD			
FORT LAUDERDA	ALE 33304-1951			
OWNER/AUTHORIZED REPRESENTATIVE:       GLENN GARDNER       PHONE:       (954)335-2200         Email:       Mobile:         CONTACT NAME:       JASON WOODHAM       PHONE:       (954)764-1100         Email:       Mobile:         ENTITLEMENT PERIOD:       8/7/2011       /       8/7/2016         (effective date)       (end date)				
PART I: INSPECTION COMPLIANCE STATUS (check				
PART II: <u>RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENT</u> (check ☑ appropriate box(es))	<u>S</u> – Rule 62-210.300, F.A.C.			
<ol> <li>Does the facility operate any emissions units other than the surface coating operations and emissions units which are exempt from permitting pursuant to the criteria of paragraph 62-210.300(3)(a) or (b), F.A.C., or have been exempted from permitting under Rule 62-4.040, F.A.C.? (Rule 62-210.300(3)(c)4.a., F.A.C.)</li></ol>				
PART III: <u>CONTROL/OPERATING/MAINTAN</u>	ANCE REQUIREMENTS -	Rule 62-210 300 F A C		
(check $\square$ appropriate box(es))		Rule 02-210.500, 1		
<ol> <li>Is/Are the surface coating operation(s) subje emission limiting standard of Chapter 62-29</li> <li>Does the facility cause, suffer, allow or perm an objectionable odor? (Rule 62.296.320(2),</li> </ol>	6.500, F.A.C.? (Rule 62-210.30) nit the discharge of air pollutant	0(3)(c)4.b., F.A.C. Yes No swhich cause or contribute to		

## PART III: <u>CONTROL/OPERATING/MAINTENANCE REQUIREMENTS</u> – Rule 62-210.300, F.A.C. – (continued)

(check  $\overline{\square}$  appropriate box(es))

3.	Does the owner/operator encourage pollution prevention through such measures as training employees
	involved in surface coating operations on methods of reducing VOC emissions by:

a) maintaining spray coating equipment to ensure effective application with a minimum of overspray?	∐Yes ∐ No
b) monitoring the coating thickness to avoid excessive coating?	Xes 🗌 No
c) considering the use of low-VOC coatings (e.g., waterborne, ultra-violet cured, or powder coatings)?	Yes No
d) implementing inventory control practices to prevent spillage?	Yes No
e) implementing management practices to reduce VOC emissions during cleanup by:	
1. spraying light colored coatings before dark colored coatings to reduce the number of cleaning	
cycles?	Yes No
2) recycling cleaning solvents?	Yes No

2) recycling cleaning solvents?------ Yes No 3) using water based cleaners?----- Yes No

PART IV: <u>SPECIAL CONDITIONS AND PROCEDURES</u> – Rule 62-210.300, F.A.C. A. <u>New or Modified Process Equipment</u>	
1. Since the last inspection has there been	
a) installation of any new process equipment?	No
b) alterations to existing process equipment without replacement?	No
c) replacement of existing equipment substantially different than that noted on the most	
recent notification form? Yes	No
d) If you answered <u>YES</u> to any of the above, did the owner submit a new and complete	
notification form and appropriate fee (Rule 62-4.050, F.A.C.) to the appropriate DEP or	
local program office? [Yes	No

Elizabeth F.Susky

Inspector's Name (Please Print)

6/19/2012

Date of Inspection

6/19/2013

Inspector's Signature

Approximate Date of Next Inspection

**COMMENTS:** In a compliance inspection conducted on 6/19/2012, AQD staff (E.Susky) observed operations at Holman Honda. The facility is an auto body shop that has two spray booths and two prep booths and a mixing room. The manager accompanied staff on the inspection. The facility houskeeping is excellent and the main office will be sending the VOC records to the department.